

Serial No. 10/601,733

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

MAR 23 2007

REMARKSI. Introduction

In response to the Office Action dated January 5, 2007, claims 1, 11, 14, 21, and 29 have been amended. Claims 1-23 and 25-30 remain in the application. Re-examination and re-consideration of the application, as amended, are respectfully requested.

The Office Action as sent inadvertently listed that any responses should be sent as After Final responses. On March 2, 2007, it was confirmed via telephone with the Examiner that the present Office Action is a non-final Office Action. The Applicants appreciate the Examiner's assistance in this regard.

II. Claim Amendments

Applicants' attorney has made amendments to the claims as indicated above. These amendments were made solely for the purpose of clarifying the language of the claims, and were not required for patentability or to distinguish the claims over the prior art.

III. Prior Art Rejections

In paragraph (1) of the Office Action, claims 1-20, 29, and 30 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Dye et al. (Dye) in view of any one of Youn, Chaddha, Yamaguchi, Selby, and Brooks. In paragraph (2) of the Office Action, claims 21-23 and 25-28 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Voois et al., (Voois) in view of any one of Youn, Chaddha, Yamaguchi, Selby, and Brooks.

Applicants respectfully traverse these rejections in light of the amendments above and the arguments below.

A. The Dye Reference

Dye describes a graphics controller which performs display list-based video refresh operations that enable objects with independent frame rates to be efficiently assembled. The graphics controller maintains a virtual display refresh list (VDRL) comprising a plurality of pointers to scan line segments in memory. The graphics controller also creates, maintains, and deletes draw display lists (DDLs) that comprise pointers to object display list subroutines (ODLs) that independently draw objects in memory. The ODLs may allocate one or more buffers in memory into which different frames of the objects are drawn. When an ODL has completed executing, the corresponding pointer in the DDL

Serial No. 10/601,733

may be updated to point to the buffer location in memory that stores the newly completed object frame. The VDRL is maintained independently (and may be doubled-buffered) and is updated using the DDLs. Motion estimation may be performed by the graphics controller using the different frames of objects that are drawn into memory by the ODLs. The different object frames may also be animated by the graphics controller once they are drawn into memory. The object frames stored in memory may be compressed to conserve memory.

Dye also discusses motion estimation (see Paragraphs [0455] through [0463]). Synchronization and blending of multiple data types that are animated is discussed to change the frame rate of the signals involved (see paragraph [0461]).

B. The Voois Reference

Voois merely describes a videophone apparatus with an on-screen telephone keypad user-interface. The videophone communicates video and audio data over a plain old telephone service (POTS) line and includes a video source and a communication channel interface circuit coupled to a programmable processor. The programmable processor is configured and arranged to execute a user interface program for user controlled operation of the videophone apparatus, display a first menu on the display, the first menu referencing a first plurality of options for operating the videophone apparatus and having associated therewith respective indicators of telephone keypad buttons, receive from the telephone keypad a first selection signal indicative of a pressed button, and initiate an operation to control the videophone apparatus in response to the first selection signal.

C. The Youn, Chaddha, Yamaguchi, Selby, and Brooks References

The Youn, Chaddha, Yamaguchi, Selby, and Brooks references are cited as teaching compression and expansion of frame rates.

D. The Claims are Patentable over the Cited References

Independent claims 1, 11, 14, 21 and 29 are generally directed to personal multimedia devices and video conferencing systems. A personal multimedia device in accordance with the present invention comprises a media processing component configured to detect a frame rate of a received video signal, compare the frame rate to a frame rate native to the personal multimedia device, the frame rate native to the personal multimedia device determined by a region where the personal multimedia device is located, increase a frame rate of the received video signal when the frame rate of the received video

Serial No. 10/601,733

signal is less than the frame rate native to the personal multimedia device by adding frames to the received video signal where the added frames are based on at least one of the received frames, and decrease the frame rate of the received video signal when the frame rate of the received video signal is greater than the frame rate native to the personal multimedia device by removing frames from the received video signal without modifying a frame adjacent to the removed frame.

The cited references do not teach nor suggest these various elements of Applicant's independent claims. Specifically, the cited references do not teach nor suggest at least the limitation of the frame rate native to the personal multimedia device determined by a region where the personal multimedia device is located as recited in the claims of the present invention.

As discussed in paragraph [0038] on page 9 of the specification as filed, the native format is determined by the region where the set top box is used. The references cited, including those that are cited as teaching native format, and thus downconversion and/or upconversion, do not discuss geographical location of the device as a limiting factor in determining the frame rate. As such, the limitation of the frame rate native to the personal multimedia device determined by a region where the personal multimedia device is located is not taught nor suggested by the cited references. Therefore, the claims as amended are patentable over the cited references.

Thus, Applicants submit that independent claims 1, 11, 21, and 29 are allowable over the cited references. Further, dependent claims 2-10, 12-20, 22-23, 25-28, and 30 are submitted to be allowable over the cited references in the same manner, because they are dependent on independent claims 1, 11, 21, and 29, respectively, and thus contain all the limitations of the independent claims. In addition, dependent claims 2-10, 12-20, 22-23, 25-28, and 30 recite additional novel elements not shown by the cited references.

Serial No. 10/601,733

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

MAR 23 2007

IV. Conclusion

In view of the above, it is submitted that this application is now in good order for allowance and such allowance is respectfully solicited. Should the Examiner believe minor matters still remain that can be resolved in a telephone interview, the Examiner is urged to call Applicant's undersigned attorney.

Respectfully submitted,

By: 

Name: Georgann S. Grunebach

Reg. No.: 33,179

Date: March 23, 2007

The DIRECTV Group, Inc.  
CA/LA1/A109  
2230 E. Imperial Highway  
P. O. Box 956  
El Segundo CA 90245

Telephone No. (310) 964-4615